

Final



WEARE BOARD OF SELECTMEN
MEETING MINUTES
December 6, 2021

PRESENT: FREDERICK W. HIPPLER, CHAIRMAN; JOHN (JACK) MEANEY, VICE CHAIRMAN; JONATHAN H. OSBORNE, SELECTMAN

ABSENT: SHERRY BURDICK, SELECTMAN; JOHN VAN LOENDERSLOOT, SELECTMAN

TOWN ADMINISTRATOR: Naomi L. Bolton

GUESTS: Finance Administrator Beth Rouse, Town Moderator Eileen Meaney, Tom Clow, Leigh Bosse, Richard Butt, Frank Campana, Tim Lorenz, Ralph Fellbaum, Bill Bolton, Marc Phillips, James Drury, Luke Drake, Tom Flaherty

Chairman Hippler called the meeting to order at 6:30pm.

NONPUBLIC SESSION: Chairman Hippler moved, Vice Chairman Meaney seconded to enter into nonpublic session @ 6:30 p.m. pursuant to the authority granted in RSA 91-A: 3II (b). A roll call vote was taken, Chairman Hippler - yes; Vice Chairman Meaney – yes; Selectman Osborne – yes. Passed 3-0-0

Chairman Hippler moved, Vice Chairman Meaney seconded to exit this nonpublic session @ 6:46 p.m. A roll call vote was taken, Chairman Hippler - yes; Vice Chairman Meaney – yes; Selectman Osborne – yes. Passed 3-0-0

The Board interviewed potential candidate for the administrative assistant position.

Chairman Hippler opened the meeting at 6:47 pm., welcomed those present to the December 6, 2021 meeting of the Weare Board of Selectmen and proceeded with the pledge of allegiance.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

1. Ralph Fellbaum, Weare Winter Wanderers Snowmobile Club Trail Master, a copy of his statement read below: I am not a town resident, but I have asked to speak during the public comment session of tonight's meeting. I am here this evening because I feel I owe the Select board an apology.

I am the trail master for the WWWSC. We operate and maintain approximately 60 miles of trails on federal, state and privately owned lands in Weare, Dunbarton, Deering and Hopkinton. We lost the use of a section of trail here in Weare near the Duck Pond Estates Development at the end of the 20/21 season. I volunteered to be tasked with finding a reroute to maintain our trails to the west of 114. I found several possible routes and decided on a route that I thought best as it involved only three entities; 3 parcels belonging to MTL.

The Elisabeth Simons Forest and a town owned piece transferred to the town as part of the Duck Pond Estates Development. I used the online tax map Axis Gis to aid in finding this route. This map shows the town owned a piece, map 405 lot 6, directly connecting to the Simons Forest.

I had previously obtained permission from both MTL and SPNHF to cross the lands under their stewardship. The last step was to obtain consent from the town.

I met several times with WCC. They asked to have the club draw up an easement to be submitted to them for their approval. This easement was to ensure the continued viability of our trail system as the Duck Pond Development progressed. The surveyor I had hired for this task was unable to complete a final draft for an easement by the Oct 19 WCC meeting at which point it was deemed best by the WCC to have the Select board issue a decision on use of the land by the club.

I'm not sure of the date but I submitted a request in writing to allow the club to pass on town land at the next meeting of the Select board after Oct 19. The request was granted.

The last thing I needed to do was move an existing gate on the trail to the boundary line between the town and Mr. Drake. It was during this correspondence that I became aware that the tax map was in error and that in order to complete my route I would need the permission of Mr. Drake. At this point, all plans and schedules related to this reroute were put on permanent hold.

This misunderstanding of the property bounds is my fault and my fault alone.

For the record;

There was no collusion or conspiracy between myself and any Select board member either individually or collectively to defraud or deceive Mr. Drake.

There was no collusion or conspiracy between myself and any members of the WCC either individually or collectively to defraud or deceive Mr. Drake.

There was no collusion or conspiracy amongst the members of the club to defraud or deceive Mr. Drake.

The WWWSC only exist through the generosity of every one of our landowners who graciously allow the members of our club and the entire snowmobile community to use their land. It is in our best interest to maintain a healthy relationship with each and every one of them. It would be self-defeating to offend any property owner whether we have a land use agreement with them or not. It is inconceivable to believe that I individually or the WWWSC in general would intentionally try to use a landowners property without their knowledge and consent.

This misunderstanding of the boundary lines was a simple and honest mistake made by me alone and in no way should be a black mark on the club that has operated in this and surrounding communities for 51 years.

I formally and publicly apologize to the Select board, Conservation Commission and especially to Mr. Drake to whom I have caused such distress. I thank you for your time and attention.

2. Luke Drake, 141 Duck Pond Road, stated he is not here to talk about the WWWSC he already dealt with them. His concern is with the way the Town dealt with him and the property.

A copy of his statement read below:

Town of Weare Board of Selectmen.

Abbreviations:

BOS- Board of Selectman

WCC- Weare Conservations Commission

TA-Town administrator

TA/TA/TC- Town Administrator/Tax assessor/Tax collector

I come before you tonight to both follow up the concerns I raised in the last public session and to raise several new issues that have come to light as I have looked more deeply into the matter.

However, before I begin, I want to thank all of the board, committee and staff members for their having volunteered their service to the public. I know something about public service as I am nearing the end of my own 40 years in sworn public service. During that time, I have had the honor to represent (through appointments and elections) thousands of employees on wide ranging issues and to serve on dozens of leadership teams, local, regional and National committees, boards and executive boards. This experience includes work with and on advisory, regulatory, judicial, and legislative bodies which has left me only too aware of the complex nature of navigating governance by committee while both complying with and creating policies, rules, regulations, and law. So, I honestly thank you for your service.

We are all, I hope, aware that anyone entering government service often swear to hold and protect the interests of the people we represent collectively above our own. In short, we become fiduciaries, or keepers of the public trust. I also hold elected office in this town and have attended training as a result including Ethical aspects. Some of us even find ourselves in positions as "pecuniaries," those empowered to act on financial concerns. These folks, including all of you are held to an even higher set of Ethical expectations and standards many of those codified in law. However, it appears that in our town there are shortcomings in this area that need redress.

Obviously, the decisions of these individuals and bodies are consequential to the affected parties particularly given that we are talking about property rights here, but they also carry consequence for the public servants on matters involving retention, re-election or reappointment, or in some unfortunate circumstance punitive actions of the courts. To avoid such calamity all levels of government apply standards of conduct, the most basic of which is to avoid conflicts of interest. In my review of the records and videos of the meetings in this town over the past few years, I have found numerous discussions from members of this and other elected and appointed officials and a goodly number of citizens who have highlighted concerns of ethical behavior by elected and appointed officials of the town. I noted that there was a clear increase in related discussions over the course of the past two years.

Tonight, I have unfortunately come yet again to talk about issues and concerns relating to the apparent shortcomings of multiple representatives of the town in many of these areas though I will acknowledge the "impact" of those conflicts varies. At the meeting of this body on 11/15/21, I came before the board and presented my concerns about a boundary infraction apparently sanctioned by the town. After the conclusion of my statement, Selectman had the following exchange:

SV "You have a concern that we need to look into"

SH "That's correct"

SV "I think it would be fair to ask Naomi (TA/TA/TC) to look into pulling the deeds and tax maps to verify where the issue lies. Give us a little time to look into this."

After recess (BTW no call back to order RR violation)

TA feedback to board "Tax map was wrong; Bob Todd did the work 1970. When you digitize something that's been hand drawn, things don't match"

I strongly disagree with this statement. I've been in aviation long enough to know that digitization, done correctly is extremely precise, however I will agree that "Garbage in-Garbage out." While it does not surprise me that the overburdened TA would object to the work, and moreover the potential for "opening the can of worms," the truth is that since the 1970s GPS technology has allowed for easy verification in 3 dimensions to any point in and around this planet. We use it for air travel all the time. The fact that the town has not updated its self-acknowledged and "widely known" erroneous maps which are used to take money from the people in a supposedly fair and equitable manner is abhorrent' I hope that all the folks watching this check their property lines with GPS coordinates and petition the town to update its inaccuracies.

The TA goes on "A mere mistake. Nothing given that was wrong, not a tax map. If someone has something surveyed, we get an updated map."

Both the Simmons to SPNHF properties and the NBAC to Duck Pond LLC were surveyed and presented to the town. In fact, please review the WWW submission. (Attached and handed out) and you will see that their "tax map" is actually a map provided by SPNHF.

SB- "They can't move that trail...?" (Off Drake Property)

TA (now WWW representative) "If we don't have access, we've lost 1/3 of our system"

Inappropriate expression of Conflict of interest.

SB- "That's awful."

I respectfully submit that my relationship with the WWW is between the two parties and expressly not the business of the town and I wholly reject any characterization of that relationship by town officials. It is expressly not the towns business and mentioning the landowner's exercise of their rights is reprehensible in its intent and contextual incompleteness.

Moving on, I call your attention to 2:42 of the meeting video, TA suggests the error was discovered when the WWW TM walked the property with "the society girl."

This is not a true statement. While SPNHF did point out that there appeared to be a misunderstanding during the walk with the WWW Rep it was only weeks later, after I was contacted about moving the gate, questioned the TM, was told I was wrong, then contacted SPNHF myself and confirmed their survey on my bounds, and that SPNHF rep contacted the TM at the end of Oct that the WWW finally acknowledged my claim. "It was an honest mistake."

Please consider the following images from the area. Note that the yellow birch "witness tree" is clearly emblazoned and posted on both sides. This is one of the trees that the town WCC gave permission to cut. See attachments.

TA- "I don't think we need to do any more research." The board agreed without vote and to date I have heard nothing further on the matter from the BOS.

I was preparing to come for a follow up tonight and I wanted to be clear about the process for updating the town Tax maps. You see when I inquired of the TA/TA/TC on 11/4/21 I was told that:

"There is an explanation as to how we fix the maps which I plan on sharing with you so that you know but it is way too long to text; and yes, we have been made aware of this issue with your property boundaries."

When I followed up on this, the TA/TA/TC responded, "I know the WWW has got a quote from JE Belanger Land Surveying to survey the lines out there. We just need to approve the amount at next week's WWW meeting as it was not budgeted for as a normal expense."

This response is obviously from the Treasurer of the WWW not the town. I followed up asking for an appointment with THE TA wherein I state "I would like to understand how the town used the surveys conducted by the forest society and Thibeault's development that granted the land to the town."

I gave several dates of availability but was told I couldn't be accommodated before 11/24 (When I already had out of state commitments). I followed up with an email on 12/3 regarding the meeting to reschedule and was told a significantly different story. TA- "Due to my current work load of covering in the tax collector's office from now until Christmas, we won't be able to meet before then. I hopefully have explained the process so that we do not have to meet, but can if the need still exists.

Not meeting together is not going to mess anything up as far as getting the tax maps corrected. The tax map updates are done annually right after April 1st of each year. The April 1st date is an effort to try to stay all on the same page for the assessors. What I mean by that is, taxes are based on what is on the property as of April 1st each year. So the current tax maps represent what was done (that we were aware of) as of April 1st of 2021. Now any corrections, subdivision, lot line adjustments, boundary surveys, etc. that have occurred and we have become aware of between April 1, 2021 and March 31st of 2022 will be sent to the mapper for the next updated set of maps.

You will see that I have included the Board of Selectmen, as I would like to take the opportunity to provide a follow up (below) to your questions and concerns made during public comment at the November 15th, 2021 Board meeting.

As a result of a volunteer for the Weare Winter Wanderers Snowmobile Club trying to do research and not knowing that tax maps are not always accurate, the discrepancy has been noted. When you appeared on November 15, 2021 before the Board there was some insinuation that the Board granted access to the snowmobile club through your land without involving you. Permission was granted by the Board of Selectmen to the Weare Winter Wanderers for the Town of Weare parcel #405-062-062 and nothing more. The Board cannot grant permission for something that does not belong to the Town. The volunteer group first went to the Conservation Commission for approval or their blessing. The result was that the Conservation Commission advised them to have professional due-diligence done. It was an honest mistake by a volunteer who found out about it while walking the trail with the Society representative. The Society map they had didn't match the tax map. We have retrieved a copy of the Society map with the correction and it will be sent to the mappers shortly after April 1st with all the other plans and documents.

There is no land dispute. The Town did no wrong in what it granted to the club. At the end of the day, if there is a piece of private land that can't be used to connect two ends of a trail then the trail is closed, plain and simple. No harm no foul."

You all have received my reply but for the benefit of those in town who were not party;

Administrator Bolton et al,

While I acknowledge that the WWW TM appears to have "made a mistake" in the map they used, it is the responsibility of the town officials to ensure accuracy and completeness of all packages submitted to the town for what could be long standing land use arrangements.

On multiple occasions, the town failed to do so. Yourself included. The town has repeatedly insisted on acceptable survey documents and monument data for applications of land use waivers/ variances / rights of way etc. except, it seems, in this case when the maps provided by the interested 3rd party were accepted and ACTED on via discussion and vote without verification despite the town having ready access to the surveys provided by the NBAC Corp (Duck Pond estates) and SPNHF. In short, the town "inferred" to the WWW that they could use my property through a failure to conduct the most basic due diligence.

More concerning is the number of town officials who have acted on the matter in apparent "ex parte" conversations and meetings, as well as clear and obvious conflicts of interest where appointee's and town officials have failed to disclose their personal interest in issues brought before them in their capacity as town officials and further failed to appropriately recuse themselves from the matters giving rise to the conflict.

Please review with our town attorney both the relevant statutes and the case law on these issues. If you are at a loss for where to begin, I respectfully suggest starting with some of the outstanding documents provided by the NH Municipal Association on these matters.

For example, you, while having initially failed to disclose any association with the WWW then later, when I was reconsidering my position, you responded to questions from me (posed as a citizen/landowner) as a representative of the WWW. You have also on multiple occasions publicly acknowledged your association with the WWW and in fact spoken from your literal seat at the town boards and commissions as a member/representative (and it seems officer) of the WWW. While you are not alone in these apparent transgressions, as a fiduciary with direct pecuniary interests in both the town business and the WWW you have, in my opinion, potentially violated a host of ethical and statutory standards.

Further, in your capacity as the tax assessor, collector, and administrator you have publicly acknowledged being aware that the town tax maps were inaccurate, having been transcribed by hand to be "digitized" over 40 years ago. Despite this direct knowledge and the presumed responsibility to ensure equitable taxation based on reliable data the town had failed to update the tax maps using readily available and provided information except when a citizen uncovers the "mistake" and pursues the matter.

In short your actions and in actions have harmed me directly and indirectly not in the least through my loss of confidence in the ability or inclination of some town officials to "play inside the field." I do not accept that there was "no harm, no foul" in fact the more I dig the fouler it seems to get.

BOS members -I take affront at having commitments made by you to me directly overturned without notice on the direct council of a town employee who, for reasons stated, should never have engaged in the discussion. For future discussions on any related matter, I request a representative of the town who does not have an apparent conflict of interest respond to me.

I am hopeful, though increasingly skeptical, that this set of issues and concerns can be worked out without 3rd party mediation or adjudication. I look forward to resolving all of these concerns.

Luke Drake
141 Duck Pond Road
Weare

Subsequent to these communications, I reviewed all of the WCC and BOS minutes and videos for the past years as mentioned. Please note that in addition to inferring transgressions representatives of the town (WCC) using the same maps provided by SPNHF and WWW authorized cutting trees. Including "witness trees" and others that WERE NOT ON TOWN PROPERTY.

Further note that the maps presented to the town were by WWW were not just tax maps -Instead they include the SPNHF "boundaries" which show my properties connection. Please refer to the attachments of this letter.

Summary of concerns and requested remedies.

The BOS took action inappropriate actions based in part on miss information provided by staff and 3rd party organizations that inferred passage and the taking of trees on land not belonging to the town despite having the clear evidence showing that was the wrong call. Further, they did so on the advice of a conflicted party, namely the TA/TA/TC. Additionally, the TA/TA/TC appears on 11/15/21 in the new business discussion to have over-ruled the BOS position that they would further research the matter without notifying the complainant.

Requested Remedy - The BOS should acknowledge their error and strike any agreement to let the WWW utilize the town property abutting the NBAC/Drake properties until such time as appropriate corrections are made. Establish an ethics committee as suggested numerous times this year by our town moderator.

Multiple issues Conflicts of Interest with several members of the WCC being WWW members (and in several cases are officers of that organization) not identifying their conflict of interest nor recusing themselves from multiple votes to support the WWW while at the same time intentionally limiting other groups access. I will detail my concerns with the Commission but as they are appointed by the BOS their conduct is reflective. I note that as recently as 10/31/21 the BOS Vice Chair admonished the WCC Chair for failing to disclose a conflict of interest. The BOS approved an appointment of this same WWW officer to the WCC despite his clear public statements supporting that org over others. This means the WCC now has (at a minimum) four WW member, two of whom are officers, and the TA who is the Treasurer. I further invite the BOS or town counsel to review the videos from the meetings over the past two years as I did to see the number of times that WCC members spoke from their seats on the WCC as members of the WWW club. These same individuals repeatedly vote to adopt positions favorable to one social organization contrary to ethical guidelines; and I believe state law.

I ask that the BOS review the 5/12/21 meeting video of the WCC where they will see the new member of that board being tasked with drafting a legal easement on town property for the WWW while that member is in fact an officer of the WWW and should have recused.

Requested remedy - Retract all votes made by the conflicted commission members and ask for fresh motions and appropriate recusals. Establish an ethics committee as suggested numerous times this year by our town moderator.

Requested Remedy: Consider probing prospective members of boards and commissions on potential conflicts. In any case, provide training on relevant policy regulation and law. Establish an ethics committee as suggested numerous times this year by our town moderator.

At least one member of the WCC appears to have violated the "no right to trespass, as well as the ex-parts provisions of the law when they met with the WWW TM On the property without board approval.

Requested Remedy - Establish an ethics committee as suggested numerous times this year by our town moderator.

The TA appears to have been involved in several Ex-Parte conversations regarding the proposal to allow the WWW to use town land.

Requested Remedy - Admonish the TA/TA/TC to refrain from commentary on conflicted subjects. Further advise all town representatives to refrain from directly representing the interests of any 3rd party organization which they may belong to. Establish ethics committee and provide appropriate training to town employees (particularly pecuniary employees) on conflicts of interest.

In closing, my confidence in the governance of this town has been significantly diminished by the actions of its representatives. It is clear that any citizen looking for fair and equitable treatment before the town should be concerned, should look more closely at what is going on and I for one intend to do so. You have my attention; it is my hope that I have yours. I look forward to the board's response on these matters in a timely manner.

3. Richard Butt, 90 Old Town Road, requested the Board review the requirements for public comment. Sometimes there is dialogue and sometimes not. Items from tonight's meeting, taking 30 minutes of time, should have been on the agenda.

Secondly, he is against using surplus money to purchase the Code Enforcement vehicle. The current vehicle, 15 - 16 years old, was never on the CIP horizon. Though a safety issue, it should not be a priority over other vehicles. He suggested working out a deal, have a discussion, with the PD to use one of their surplus vehicles. He would like the Board to reconsider their decision.

4.) Tom Clow, 125 Concord Stage Road, is looking to reopen the Town Hall as he mentioned a few weeks ago. He noted the cleaning contract designates money to clean the hall. He suggested opening the hall one day, Saturday or Sunday, lining up the cleaning service the next day.

Tax Bill Issue

Frank Campana stated he would like the Board to consider the issue impartially and not the person. He requested Town Administrator Bolton help explain. Looking for a resolution before 12/23/2021. He has owned a small parcel, less than an acre, for 40+ years for which he usually receives a tax bill. Town Administrator Bolton explained on his small parcel the assessment went down combined with a lower tax rate meant Frank's tax bill was a credit of \$1.40. Our tax system does not print negative bills. She will investigate with Avitar on this issue. Frank would like a tax bill, receipt, which shows his bill is paid. Town Administrator Bolton to send him a letter before the due date.

Pine Tree Riot 250 Celebration - facility use

Tom Flaherty, Vice Chairman of the Weare Historical Society, stated April 2022 is the anniversary of the Pine Tree Riot. In celebration, he is in need of a space to hold a play to be performed by John Stark students. He is here tonight to request the use of the Town Hall for approximately a couple hours for roughly 100 - 200 people. The Historical Society would be responsible for cleaning/sanitizing. Chairman Hippler asked the Board for comments. Vice Chairman Meaney stated to keep it on the back burner to recheck as the time nears. Selectman Osborne responded perhaps things will calm down by then. Chairman Hippler added it will be penciled into the schedule to reevaluate as time approaches. The Board to discuss sanitization procedures in the future.

DEPARTMENT HEADS/COMMITTEE ITEMS: none

Eileen Meaney, Town Moderator, reiterated reinvigorating the Town's Ethics Committee. With the budgeting process and warrant articles, it would be beneficial to all involved.

2022 Budget Presentation, Balance of smaller budgets

Beth Rouse, Finance Administrator, reviewed the proposed budgets of the smaller departments. It was discussed that a few of the Departments were in line with the default budget, there were some that were above default, as well as a couple that came in under default. Most of the Departments that were higher than the default budget was a result of pay increases due to the hiring of new staff and retention of the current staff. Many new contracts were awarded this year, which have not been approved by the voters through a town vote. Collectively, the proposed budgets of the smaller departments increased by \$6,129.

MANIFEST:

To order the Treasurer to sign the payroll and accounts payables checks dated December 9, 2021 as included in the following manifests:

<i>Payroll Manifest</i>	\$	<i>71,641.10</i> (Weekly payroll)
<i>Accounts Payable Manifest</i>	\$	<i>70,770.78</i>
<i>2021 Hillsborough County Taxes</i>	\$	<i>1,105,514.00</i>
<i>John Stark Accounts Payable Manifest</i>	\$	<i>250,000.00</i>
<i>Weare School District Payable Manifest</i>	\$	<i>300,000.00</i>
<i>Total</i>	\$	<i>1,797,925.88</i>

The following manifests were previously ordered to sign at the November 15, 2021 Board of Selectmen meeting:

Payroll Manifest	\$	60,745.51 (Weekly payroll checks dated 11/10/21)
Accounts Payable Manifest	\$	21,174.16 (Checks dated 11/24/21)
Supplemental Accounts Payable Manifest	\$	13,185.66 (Checks dated 11/24/21)
Supplemental Payroll Manifest	\$	55.78 (Employee check dated 11/18/21)
Payroll Manifest	\$	71,582.63 (Checks dated 12/02/21)
Accounts Payable Manifest	\$	103,967.19 (Checks dated 12/02/21)

As there is no Selectmen meeting scheduled for Monday, December 13, 2021

Please vote:

To order the Treasurer to sign payroll checks dated December 16, 2021 estimated to be about \$75,000.00. Furthermore, to order the Treasurer to sign up to the amount of \$90,000.00 for accounts payables that cannot wait until the next scheduled meeting. Reports and actual check amounts will be reported to the Board of Selectmen by inclusion on the Manifest Memo at the next scheduled meeting.

Chairman Hippler moved, Vice Chairman Meaney seconded to accept the manifest as follows, which passed. Passed 3-0-0

MINUTES:

November 15, 2021 Minutes: Chairman Hippler moved, Selectman Osborne seconded to accept the minutes of November 15th as written, passed 2-0-1, Vice Chairman Meaney abstained.

ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT:

General Items:

1. Radio Communication - Motorola coming on 12/20 for update and additional information
2. Forestry Contract - one reply regarding length of contracts, other towns to be sought
3. Tennis Court - springtime start
4. ARPA - price forth coming for AC units

5. Collins Cemetery - to pursue abandonment
6. Cemetery Trustees - still working on updating maps, Trustees to appoint Sexton
7. 2022 Budget Presentations - DPW to present next meeting
8. Building Inspector Vehicle - safety issue, Selectman Osborne suggested taking the current truck out of service and use the ACO vehicle. To discuss with PD.
9. Eversource - tree trimming, **Chairman Hippler moved, Vice Chairman Meaney seconded to authorize Town Administrator Bolton to sign Eversource trimming requests for the Town. Passed 3-0-0.**
10. ExOfficio Updates - Stone Fund has 4 applicants
11. BOS meeting 12/9 for swearing in of new Police Officer at 6:30pm.

Building & Maintenance Projects:

1. East Weare Fire Station furnace - no contractor would warranty moving the old furnace, Irving Oil Inc. to check out on 12/20, oil to be repurposed to DPW
2. Town Administrative Office Upstairs ceiling and walls - to start painting 12/16
3. Riverdale Road/River Road - Town Engineer survey crew to start next couple of weeks, researching has begun at the Registry

CORRESPONDENCE: none

OTHER BUSINESS

- 1.) Tax bills - Town Administrator Bolton to handle
- 2.) Town Hall Use - to discuss in January
- 3.) Ethics Committee warrant article - poll other towns, use list serve

NONPUBLIC SESSION: Chairman Hippler moved, Vice Chairman Meaney seconded to enter into nonpublic session @ 8:24 p.m. pursuant to the authority granted in RSA 91-A: 3II (c). A roll call vote was taken, Chairman Hippler - yes; Vice Chairman Meaney – yes; Selectman Osborne – yes. Passed 3-0-0

Vice Chairman Meaney moved, Chairman Hippler seconded to exit this nonpublic session @ 8:37 p.m. A roll call vote was taken, Chairman Hippler - yes; Vice Chairman Meaney – yes; Selectman Osborne – yes. Passed 3-0-0

Vice Chairman Meaney moved, Chairman Hippler seconded to seal and restrict these nonpublic session minutes. Passed 3-0-0

Being there was no further business to come before the Board, Vice Chairman Meaney made a motion, Selectman Osborne seconded to adjourn at 8:38 pm. Passed 3-0-0

ADJOURNMENT

A True Record.

Karen Nelson

Karen Nelson transcribed from
TA Bolton notes & You Tube recording